10

5

12

13

16

19

24

22

27

28

HONORABLE SCOTT C. CLARKSON, UNITED TO THE **STATES** BANKRUPTCY JUDGE, THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, THE DEBTOR, CONSUMERS, PARTIES IN INTEREST, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF **RECORD:** 

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that, on December 27, 2023, Richard A. Marshack, the Chapter 11 Trustee (the "Trustee") for the bankruptcy estate of The Litigation Practice Group P.C., (the "Debtor") in the above-captioned bankruptcy case (the "Case"), hereby files this motion (the "Motion") for entry of an order rejecting the consumer client contracts for those clients which were excluded or removed by MLG as provided for in the Agreement of Purchase and Sale and Joint Escrow Instructions dated as of August 4, 2023, by and between the Trustee and MLG (the "Purchase Agreement") (collectively, the "Rejected Consumer Contracts") and:

- 1) Grants the Motion.
- 2) Deems rejected and void, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365, effective as of the Petition date, the Consumer Contracts listed in Exhibit A<sup>2</sup> and incorporated by reference herein.
- 3) Allows the Trustee to file a motion seeking an order authorizing him to refund such monies to the rejected consumer clients, in his sole discretion and upon a reasonable request with evidence supporting such refunds.

**NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN** that the Motion is based on (a) this Notice of Motion and Motion, Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Declaration of Richard A. Marshack, and Exhibit, (b) the concurrently filed and served notice of filing of the Motion, (c) the pleadings on file in this case and related adversary proceedings of which the Court is requested to take judicial notice, and (d) such other pleadings and evidence as may be properly submitted in connection with the Motion.

**NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN** that a hearing to consider the Motion will take place before the Honorable Scott C. Clarkson in Courtroom 5C of the United States Bankruptcy Court,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Copies of the Contracts are not being provided as exhibits to the Motion as they are voluminous and contain personally identifiable information. Further this exhibit, which is under seal, and thus will not be filed with the Court, will be served on these parties, and will identify which parties are being excluded/removed by MLG.

1	located at 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, California 92701, on January 31, 2024 at 1:30 p.m.				
2	or via Zoom.				
3	NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that, pursuant to LBR 9013-1(f), any response or				
4	opposition to the Motion must be (i) in writing and include a complete written statement of all				
5	reasons in opposition thereto or in support or joinder thereof, declarations and copies of all				
6	photographs and documentary evidence on which the responding party intends to rely and any				
7	responding memorandum of points and authorities, and (ii) filed with the Court and served on				
8	the Trustee, counsel for the Trustee, the Debtor, and the United States Trustee no later than 14				
9	days prior to the hearing on this Motion.				
10	NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that, pursuant to LBR 9013-1(h), the failure to				
11	file and serve a timely response to the Motion may be deemed by the Court to be consent				
12	to the granting of the relief requested in the Motion.				
13					
14	Dated: December 27, 2023 Respectfully submitted,				
15	DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP				
16	By: <u>/s/ Yosina M. Lissebeck</u>				
17	Yosina M. Lissebeck Christopher B. Ghio				
18	Christopher B. Gillo Christopher Celentino Special Counsel to Richard A. Marshack,				
19	Chapter 11 Trustee				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Richard A. Marshack, Chapter 11 trustee (the "Trustee") of the bankruptcy estate (the "Estate") of The Litigation Practice Group P.C. ("LPG" or the "Debtor") submits this Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of his motion (the "Motion") for entry of an order rejecting, pursuant to the terms of the Court's Order (A) Approving Sale of Assets Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, Encumbrances and Interests Pursuant to 11 USC § 363(b), (B) Approving Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases, and (C) Granting Related Relief [Dkt. No. 352] (the "Sale Order"), (i) all consumer client files listed in Exhibit A (filed under Seal pursuant to an Order entered on December 14, 2023, but served on all parties) "Cancelled/Excluded/Rejected" which includes the consumer clients who did not opt out<sup>3</sup> and who fell into one of the below categories.

- 1. The client was located in a state for which Morning Law Group ("MLG") MLG had no licensed attorney; or
- 2. The client had no remaining payments and if any payments were made to MLG since August 4, 2023, the payments were listed to be refunded to the Estate per Purchase Agreement Section 1(c); or
  - 3. It was not economically viable for MLG to assume representation of the client; or
- 4. For a small handful of clients, MLG otherwise believed it could not adequately and properly represent the client in compliance with the applicable Rules of Professional Conduct (e.g., disputes or challenges with the client, inability to communicate with the client, the client refused to work with MLG's local counsel).

This may have included some consumer clients who requested to opt in, but whose request was ultimately not accepted (and they did not sign a new Legal Service Agreement with MLG).

///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Any consumer client who opted-out caused the contract to be terminated automatically. Thus, there is no reason to reject, as those contracts are already deemed rejected.

# Case 8:23-bk-10571-SC Doc 793 Filed 12/27/23 Entered 12/27/23 18:22:17 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 23

Some of the rejected clients may have pending matters about which MLG was aware and addressed as below. Others may have pending matters about which MLG is unaware of whether LPG is listed as counsel of record, as LPG's records were not complete or well-maintained.

For rejected LPG clients who have pending matters about which MLG was aware, MLG discussed the ethical issues with Ms. Rapaport the Court Ordered Monitor and agreed to follow the below procedure:

If an MLG attorney had filed an appearance in any court matters on behalf of the rejected clients, MLG:

- (1) reviewed the matter beginning on November 15 to see if there were any deadlines upcoming within the subsequent 30 days;
  - (2) if there were no such deadlines pending, the attorney filed a request to withdraw;
- (3) if there were such pending deadlines, MLG discussed internally the best course of action, which action could include:
- (a) completing the representation if the case was one that was nearly finished or was close to settling, or
- (b) filing a request to withdraw to see if the Court would approve it and postpone the upcoming deadline to allow the client time to find new counsel, and
- (c) if the Court rejected the request to withdraw, then MLG would agree to represent the client solely for that pending litigation subject to a new engagement, but would still reject assumption of the LPG contract.

If no MLG attorney has filed an appearance in the matter, MLG would take no action in the matter because MLG cannot withdraw on behalf of LPG in that instance.

Thus, for any rejected consumer client MLG will no longer be representing them after entry of an Order approving this Motion. Further, neither the Bankruptcy Estate nor the Chapter 11 Trustee can, or will, represent any party who opted out, or any of these rejected consumer clients. Any rejected consumer client who is on Exhibit A should immediately seek to retain substitute counsel. In anticipation that parties may need assistance in finding substitute counsel, the Trustee will provide an additional notice to all rejected parties after

3

that can assist in finding referrals.

4567

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If the Trustee charged any of these consumer clients after the bankruptcy was commenced or if MLG charged any of these consumer clients after the sale and returns such funds to the Trustee, he intends to file a motion seeking an order authorizing him to refund such monies to the rejected consumer clients, in his sole discretion and upon a reasonable request with evidence supporting such refunds.

this Motion is approved with contact information for bar associations and/or organizations

## II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

## A. The Debtor's Bankruptcy Filing

On March 20, 2023 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, commencing the above-captioned bankruptcy case (the "Case"). [Dk. 1].

# B. The Pre-Petition Debtor

As relevant hereto, prepetition, the Debtor engaged in the business of providing legal services generally described as debt resolution services provided by a network of attorneys licensed to practice law in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. [Dk. 320, page 4].

As part of its business, Debtor entered into legal service agreements with multiple clients wherein Debtor agreed to provide legal services to these individuals (hereinafter "Consumer Contracts"). Declaration of Richard Marshack,  $\P$  4.

# C. The Sale to Morning Law Group, P.C.

On July 22, 2023, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 320] (the "Sale Order") approving the sale of substantially all of the assets of the Debtor to Morning Law Group P.C. ("MLG").

# D. The 90 Day Notice to Consumers and Purchase Agreement.

Pursuant to California Law, as part of the sale to MLG, a 90-day Notice to Consumer was sent to all Consumer Contract parties providing them with the choice to either opt-in and consent to MLG providing legal services, and then entering into a new legal service agreement; opt-out and have the file transferred to the consumer and any agreement with MLG rejected; or do nothing

1

4 **5** 

6

7

8 9 10

11 12

13 14

15

1617

19

18

2021

22

2324

25

27

28

that opted out; the balance were non-responsive to the notice.

E. Motion to Seal

On December 13, 2023, the Trustee filed a Motion to Seal Exhibit A, which is the list of

and then be deemed to have opted in, until the time the consumer decided to opt-out. Declaration

of Richard Marshack, ¶ 4. This Notice was sent to all Consumer Contract parties on August 16,

2023. Declaration of Richard Marshack, ¶ 4. As of the filing of this Motion, the time period to

Opt-In has expired (November 14, 2023) and there are approximately 1,745 who opted in; 4,673

over 19,000 consumer client files that are being rejected. See, [Dkt. No. 760]. An Order was entered on December 14, 2023, protecting Exhibit A from filing, as it consists of consumer's names. This Exhibit will however be served on all of those listed in Exhibit A so that the consumer clients can identify if their file is being rejected.

# F. Rejection of Consumer Clients

Pursuant to the Sale Order, MLG is entitled to exclude or remove consumer client contracts it identifies. Declaration of Richard Marshack, ¶ 4 The consumer clients identified in Exhibit A are the "Cancelled/Excluded/Rejected" files, which includes the consumer clients who did not opt out<sup>4</sup> and who fell into one of the below categories.

- 1. The client was located in a state for which Morning Law Group ("MLG") MLG has no licensed attorney; or
- 2. The client had no remaining payments and if any payments were made to MLG since August 4, 2023, the payments were listed to be refunded to the Estate per Purchase Agreement Section 1(c); or
  - 3. It was not economically viable for MLG to assume representation of the client; or
- 4. For a small handful of clients, MLG otherwise believed it could not adequately and properly represent the client in compliance with the applicable Rules of Professional Conduct (e.g., disputes or challenges with the client, inability to communicate with the client, the client refused to work with MLG's local counsel).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Any consumer client who opted-out caused the contract to be terminated automatically. Thus, there is no reason to reject, as those contracts are already deemed rejected.

7 8

9

10

6

11 12

13

14 15

16

1718

20

21

19

2223

25

24

27

28

26

This may have included some consumer clients who requested to opt in, but whose request was ultimately not accepted (and they did not sign a new Legal Service Agreement with MLG).

Some of the rejected clients may have pending matters about which MLG was aware and addressed as below. Others may have pending matters about which MLG is unaware of whether LPG is listed as counsel of record, as LPG's records were not complete or well-maintained.

For rejected LPG clients who have pending matters about which MLG was aware, MLG discussed the ethical issues with Ms. Rapoport the Court Ordered Monitor and agreed to follow the below procedure:

If an MLG attorney had filed an appearance in any court matters on behalf of the rejected clients, MLG:

- (1) reviewed the matter beginning on November 15 to see if there were any deadlines upcoming within the subsequent 30 days;
  - (2) if there were no such deadlines pending, the attorney filed a request to withdraw;
- (3) if there were such pending deadlines, MLG discussed internally the best course of action, which action could include:
- (a) completing the representation if the case was one that was nearly finished or was close to settling, or
- (b) filing a request to withdraw to see if the Court would approve it and postpone the upcoming deadline to allow the client time to find new counsel, and
- (c) if the Court rejected the request to withdraw, then MLG would agree to represent the client solely for that pending litigation subject to a new engagement, but would still reject assumption of the LPG contract.

If no MLG attorney has filed an appearance in the matter, MLG would take no action in the matter because MLG cannot withdraw on behalf of LPG in that instance.

Thus, for any rejected consumer client MLG will no longer be representing them after entry of an Order approving this Motion. Further, neither the Bankruptcy Estate nor the Chapter 11 Trustee can, or will, represent any party who opted out, or any of these rejected consumer clients. Any rejected consumer client who is on Exhibit A should immediately seek

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

20

21

25

27

28

1

to retain substitute counsel. In anticipation that parties may need assistance in finding substitute counsel, the Trustee will provide an additional notice to all rejected parties after this Motion is approved with contact information for bar associations and/or organizations that can assist in finding referrals.

If the Trustee charged any of these consumer clients after the bankruptcy was commenced or if MLG charged any of these consumer clients after the sale and returns such funds to the Trustee, he intends to file a motion seeking an order authorizing him to refund such monies to the rejected consumer clients, in his sole discretion and upon a reasonable request with evidence supporting such refunds.

#### II. THE COURT SHOULD PERMIT THE TRUSTEE TO REJECT THE AGREEMENTS

## A. Standards for Rejection

Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 365(a), a chapter 11 debtor in possession, "subject to the court's approval, may . . . reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a); *In re Player's Poker Club, Inc.*, 636 B.R. 811, 816-17 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2022). "In making its determination, a bankruptcy court need engage in 'only a cursory review of a [debtor in possession]'s decision to reject the contract. Specifically, a bankruptcy court applies the business judgment rule to evaluate a [debtor in possession]'s rejection decision." *Agarwal v. Pomona Valley Med. Group, Inc.* (*In re Pomona Valley Med. Group, Inc.*), 476 F.3d 665, 670 (9th Cir. 2007) (quoting *Durkin v. Benedor (In re G.I. Indus.)*, 204 F.3d 1276, 1282 (9th Cir. 1999)).

Courts have embraced the "business judgment test" when reviewing these decisions and have held that rejection is satisfied when a trustee has determined, using its business judgment, that rejection will benefit the estate. *See, e.g., NLRB v. Bildisco & Bildisco (In re Bildisco)*, 682 F.2d 72, 279 (3d Cir. 1982), *aff'd*, 465 U.S. 513 (1984); *In re Hertz*, 536 B.R. at 442; *Agarwal v. Pomona Valley Med. Grp., Inc.* (*In re Pomona Valley Med. Grp., Inc.*), 476 F.3d 665, 670 (9th Cir. 2007).

Under the business judgment test, bankruptcy courts will uphold and not overturn a debtor-in-possession's decision regarding the use of property of the estate, including the decision to reject an executory contract, unless that decision is the product of "bad faith, whim, or caprice." *Pomona Valley Med. Grp.*, 476 F.3d at 670 (quoting *Lubrizol Enters. v. Richmond Metal Finishers*, 756

F.2d 1043, 1047 (4th Cir. 1985), cert. denied, 475 U.S. 1057 (1986)); see also In re Brugnara
Props. VI, No. C 18-02822 WHA, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 54611, at \*7–8 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2019)
(applying Pomona Valley Med. Grp. in the context of a trustee seeking to reject an executory contract).

"Since the debtor has the right under the Bankruptcy Code to reject the contract, the court's discretion is limited once it has determined that the debtor is exercising sound business judgment." *In re Health Plan of the Redwoods*, 286 B.R. 779, 780 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2002).

#### B. Basis for Rejection

Pursuant to MLG's rights under the Sale Order, it has determined it will not be accepting representation of the Consumer Contracts identified in Exhibit A. Because the Estate is not in a position to provide legal representation to these consumers, Trustee has properly exercised his business judgment to reject these contracts. Declaration of Richard Marshack, ¶ 4.5

Finally, there is no prejudice to the Consumer Contract parties. The claims bar date has not expired and this motion requests that the Court set a 60-day deadline after entry of the order for any party asserting an administrative claim to file a properly noticed motion. Thus, if a consumer clients believes they have an unsecured or administrative claim, they can file one. More important, for rejected LPG clients who have pending matters about which MLG was aware, MLG discussed the ethical issues with Ms. Rapoport the Court Ordered Monitor and agreed to the procedures identified above and in the Notice.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Based upon all of the foregoing, the Trustee submits that the relief requested is in the best interests of the Debtor's Estate and parties in interest, and respectfully requests that the Court grant the Motion and enter an order:

1. Authorizing rejection of the Contracts under section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code;

26 1/

27

28

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

20

21

23

24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Any consumer client who opted-out caused the contract to be terminated automatically. Thus, there is no reason to reject, as those contracts are already deemed rejected.

Cas	8:23-bk-10571-SC Doc 793 Filed 12/27/23 Entered 12/27/23 18:22:17 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 23				
1	2. Ordering that any unsecured claim arising from rejection must be filed by the				
2	deadline set by the Court for the filing of any unsecured claim;				
3	3. Setting a 60-day deadline for the filing of any motion seeking allowance of an				
4	administrative claim arising from rejection;				
5	4. Allows the Trustee to file a motion seeking an order authorizing him to refund such				
6	monies to the rejected consumer clients, in his sole discretion and upon a reasonable request with				
7	evidence supporting such refunds; and				
8	5. Granting the Trustee such other and further relief as the Court deems just and				
9	proper.				
10					
11	Dated: December 27, 2023 Respectfully submitted,				
12	DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP				
13					
14	By: /s/ Yosina M. Lissebeck Yosina M. Lissebeck				
15	Christopher B. Ghio Christopher Celentino				
16	Counsel to Richard A. Marshack, Chapter 11 Trustee				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					

### I, Richard A. Marshack, declare:

- 1. I am the Chapter 11 Trustee (the "Trustee") of the bankruptcy estate (the "Estate") of the Debtor. As such, except as expressly stated otherwise, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and could and would competently testify under oath thereto if requested to do so.
  - 2. I submit this Declaration in support of the *Motion for Order Rejecting Consumer Contracts That Were Excluded or Removed Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365* (the "Motion") to which this Declaration is attached. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the same meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.
    - 3. The Court may take judicial notice of the following:
  - a. On March 20, 2023 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.* (the "Bankruptcy Code"), commencing the above-captioned bankruptcy case (the "Case").
    - b. I accepted my appointment for the Estate on May 8, 2023.
    - 4. Based on my investigation to date, I am informed and believe the following:
  - a. As part of its business, Debtor entered into legal service agreements with multiple clients wherein Debtor agreed to provide legal services to these individuals (hereinafter "Consumer Contracts").
  - b. Pursuant to California Law, as part of the sale to MLG, a 90-day Notice to Consumer was sent to all Consumer Contract parties providing them with the choice to either optin and consent to MLG providing legal services, and then entering into a new legal service agreement; opt-out and have the file transferred to the consumer and any agreement with MLG rejected; or do nothing and then be deemed to have opted in, until the time the consumer decided to opt-out. This Notice was sent to all Consumer Contract parties on August 16, 2023. As of the filing of this Motion, the time period to Opt-In has expired (November 14, 2023) and there are

Dated: December 27, 2023

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

Cas	e 8:23-bk-10571-SC Doc 793 Filed 12/27/23 Entered 12/27/23 18:22:17 Main Document Page 14 of 23	Desc
1	EXHIBIT "A"	
2	EMIDIT	
3	FILED UNDER SEAL	
4	SERVED ON ALL LISTED EXHIBIT A PARTIES	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address 655 W. Broadway, Suite 800, San Diego, California 92101

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document: **AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR** ORDER REJECTING CONSUMER CONTRACTS WHICH WERE EXCLUDED OR REMOVED PURSUAN T TO 11 U.S.C. § 365; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF RICHARD A. MARSHACK; AND EXHIBIT

will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambe and (b) in the manner stated below:	ers in the	form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d);
1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be document. On December 27, 2023, I checked the CM/E proceeding and determined that the following persons a transmission at the email addresses stated below:	e served CF dock	by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the let for this bankruptcy case or adversary
	$\boxtimes$	Service information continued on attached page
2. <u>SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL</u> : On <u>December 27, 2023</u> , I served the following persons bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a tunited States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addeclaration that mailing to the judge <u>will be completed</u> not be a served to the process of	true and dressed a	correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a
		Service information continued on attached page
3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to I 2023, I served the following persons and/or entities by personsented in writing to such service method), by facsimhere constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, than 24 hours after the document is filed.	F.R.Civ.F personal nile transr	P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on <u>December 27,</u> delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who mission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge
JUDGE'S COPY - VIA FEDEX The Honorable Scott C. Clarkson United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Ronald Reagan Federal Building and C 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5130 / C Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593		
		Service information continued on attached page
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the	United S	tates that the foregoing is true and correct.
December 27, 2023 Caron Burke		/s/ Caron Burke
Date Printed Name		Signature

#### 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):

Eric Bensamochan on behalf of Creditor Affirma, LLC eric@eblawfirm.us, G63723@notify.cincompass.com

Eric Bensamochan on behalf of Creditor Oxford Knox, LLC eric@eblawfirm.us, G63723@notify.cincompass.com

Eric Bensamochan on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF eric@eblawfirm.us, G63723@notify.cincompass.com

Eric Bensamochan on behalf of Interested Party Eric Bensamochan eric@eblawfirm.us, G63723@notify.cincompass.com

Peter W Bowie on behalf of Trustee Richard A Marshack (TR) peter.bowie@dinsmore.com, caron.burke@dinsmore.com

Ronald K Brown on behalf of Creditor SDCO Tustin Executive Center, Inc. ron@rkbrownlaw.com

Christopher Celentino on behalf of Plaintiff Richard A. Marshack christopher.celentino@dinsmore.com, caron.burke@dinsmore.com

Christopher Celentino on behalf of Trustee Richard A Marshack (TR) christopher.celentino@dinsmore.com, caron.burke@dinsmore.com

Shawn M Christianson on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF cmcintire@buchalter.com, schristianson@buchalter.com

Randall Baldwin Clark on behalf of Interested Party Randall Baldwin Clark rbc@randallbclark.com

Leslie A Cohen on behalf of Defendant Lisa Cohen leslie@lesliecohenlaw.com; jaime@lesliecohenlaw.com; clare@lesliecohenlaw.com

Leslie A Cohen on behalf of Defendant Rosa Bianca Loli leslie@lesliecohenlaw.com;clare@lesliecohenlaw.com

Leslie A Cohen on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF leslie@lesliecohenlaw.com; jaime@lesliecohenlaw.com; clare@lesliecohenlaw.com

Aaron E. DE Leest on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF adeleest@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com;adeleest@ecf.inforuptcy.com

Jenny L Doling on behalf of Interested Party INTERESTED PARTY jd@jdl.law,

dolingjr92080@notify.bestcase.com;15994@notices.nextchapterbk.com;jdoling@jubileebk.net

Jenny L Doling on behalf of Interested Party National Association of Consumer Bankruptcy Attorneys jd@jdl.law,

dolingjr92080@notify.bestcase.com;15994@notices.nextchapterbk.com;jdoling@jubileebk.net

Jenny L Doling on behalf of Interested Party National Consumer Bankruptcy Rights Center id@idl.law.

dolingjr92080@notify.bestcase.com;15994@notices.nextchapterbk.com;jdoling@jubileebk.net

Daniel A Edelman on behalf of Creditor Carolyn Beech

dedelman@edcombs.com, courtecl@edcombs.com

William P Fennell on behalf of Creditor Validation Partners LLC

william.fennell@fennelllaw.com,

luralene.schultz@fennelllaw.com;wpf@ecf.courtdrive.com;hala.hammi@fennelllaw.com;naomi.cwalinski@fennelllaw.com;samantha.larimer@fennelllaw.com

Eric Gassman on behalf of Creditor Herret Credit

erg@gassmanlawgroup.com, gassman.ericb112993@notify.bestcase.com

Christopher Ghio on behalf of Plaintiff Richard A. Marshack

christopher.ghio@dinsmore.com,

nicolette.murphy@dinsmore.com;angelica.urena@dinsmore.com;deamira.romo@dinsmore.com

Christopher Ghio on behalf of Trustee Richard A Marshack (TR)

christopher.ghio@dinsmore.com,

nicolette.murphy@dinsmore.com; angelica.urena@dinsmore.com; deamira.romo@dinsmore.com; deamira.romo.com; deam

Amy Lynn Ginsburg on behalf of Creditor Amy Ginsburg efilings@ginsburglawgroup.com

Amy Lynn Ginsburg on behalf of Creditor Kenton Cobb efilings@ginsburglawgroup.com

Amy Lynn Ginsburg on behalf of Creditor Shannon Bellfield efilings@ginsburglawgroup.com

Eric D Goldberg on behalf of Defendant Stripe, Inc. eric.goldberg@dlapiper.com, eric-goldberg-1103@ecf.pacerpro.com

Jeffrey I Golden on behalf of Creditor Affirma, LLC jgolden@go2.law,

kadele@ecf.courtdrive.com;cbmeeker@gmail.com;lbracken@wgllp.com;dfitzgerald@go2.law;golden.jeff reyi.b117954@notify.bestcase.com

Jeffrey I Golden on behalf of Creditor Anaheim Arena Management, LLC jgolden@go2.law,

kadele@ecf.courtdrive.com;cbmeeker@gmail.com;lbracken@wgllp.com;dfitzgerald@go2.law;golden.jeff reyi.b117954@notify.bestcase.com

Jeffrey I Golden on behalf of Creditor Anaheim Ducks Hockey Club, LLC jgolden@go2.law,

kadele@ecf.courtdrive.com;cbmeeker@gmail.com;lbracken@wgllp.com;dfitzgerald@go2.law;golden.jeff reyi.b117954@notify.bestcase.com

Jeffrey I Golden on behalf of Creditor Oxford Knox, LLC igolden@go2.law.

kadele@ecf.courtdrive.com; cbmeeker@gmail.com; lbracken@wgllp.com; dfitzgerald@go2.law; golden.jeffreyi.b117954@notify.bestcase.com

Jeffrey I Golden on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF jgolden@go2.law,

kadele@ecf.courtdrive.com; cbmeeker@gmail.com; lbracken@wgllp.com; dfitzgerald@go2.law; golden.jeffreyi.b117954@notify.bestcase.com

Richard H Golubow on behalf of Creditor Debt Validation Fund II, LLC rgolubow@wghlawyers.com, jmartinez@wghlawyers.com;svillegas@wghlawyers.com

Richard H Golubow on behalf of Creditor MC DVI Fund 1, LLC rgolubow@wghlawyers.com, jmartinez@wghlawyers.com;svillegas@wghlawyers.com

Richard H Golubow on behalf of Creditor MC DVI Fund 2, LLC rgolubow@wghlawyers.com, jmartinez@wghlawyers.com;svillegas@wghlawyers.com

David M Goodrich on behalf of Creditor United Partnerships, LLC dgoodrich@go2.law, kadele@go2.law;dfitzgerald@go2.law;wggllp@ecf.courtdrive.com

David M Goodrich on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF dgoodrich@go2.law, kadele@go2.law;dfitzgerald@go2.law;wggllp@ecf.courtdrive.com

D Edward Hays on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF ehays@marshackhays.com,

ehays@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com;cmendoza@marshackhays.com;cmendoza@ecf.courtdrive.com

D Edward Hays on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF ehays@marshackhays.com,

ehays@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com;cmendoza@marshackhays.com;cmendoza@ecf.courtdrive.com

D Edward Hays on behalf of Trustee Richard A Marshack (TR) ehays@marshackhays.com,

ehays@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com;cmendoza@marshackhays.com;cmendoza@ecf.courtdrive.com

Alan Craig Hochheiser on behalf of Creditor City Capital NY ahochheiser@mauricewutscher.com, arodriguez@mauricewutscher.com

Garrick A Hollander on behalf of Creditor Debt Validation Fund II, LLC ghollander@wghlawyers.com, jmartinez@wghlawyers.com;svillegas@wghlawyers.com

Garrick A Hollander on behalf of Creditor MC DVI Fund 1, LLC ghollander@wghlawyers.com, jmartinez@wghlawyers.com;svillegas@wghlawyers.com

Garrick A Hollander on behalf of Creditor MC DVI Fund 2, LLC ghollander@wghlawyers.com, jmartinez@wghlawyers.com;svillegas@wghlawyers.com

Brian L Holman on behalf of Creditor Sharp Electronics Corporation b.holman@musickpeeler.com

Richard L. Hyde on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF richard@amintalati.com

Peter L Isola on behalf of Interested Party Merchants Credit Corporation pisola@hinshawlaw.com, Inystrom@hinshawlaw.com

Razmig Izakelian on behalf of Counter-Defendant OHP-CDR, LP razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com

Razmig Izakelian on behalf of Counter-Defendant PurchaseCo 80, LLC razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com

Razmig Izakelian on behalf of Creditor OHP-CDR, LP razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com

Razmig Izakelian on behalf of Plaintiff OHP-CDR, LP

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com

Razmig Izakelian on behalf of Plaintiff PurchaseCo 80, LLC razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com

Sweeney Kelly on behalf of Defendant Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. dba FIS kelly@ksgklaw.com

Sweeney Kelly on behalf of Defendant Worldpay, LLC kelly@ksgklaw.com

Joon M Khang on behalf of Attorney Khang & Khang LLP joon@khanglaw.com

Joon M Khang on behalf of Debtor The Litigation Practice Group P.C. joon@khanglaw.com

Ira David Kharasch on behalf of Interested Party Ad Hoc Consumer Claimants Committee ikharasch@pszjlaw.com

Ira David Kharasch on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF ikharasch@pszjlaw.com

Meredith King on behalf of Defendant Gallant Law Group mking@fsl.law, ssanchez@fsl.law;jwilson@fsl.law

Meredith King on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF mking@fsl.law, ssanchez@fsl.law;jwilson@fsl.law

Nicholas A Koffroth on behalf of Creditor Committee Committee of Unsecured Creditors nkoffroth@foxrothschild.com, khoang@foxrothschild.com

David S Kupetz on behalf of Defendant Marich Bein, LLC David.Kupetz@lockelord.com, mylene.ruiz@lockelord.com

David S Kupetz on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF David.Kupetz@lockelord.com, mylene.ruiz@lockelord.com

Christopher J Langley on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF chris@slclawoffice.com, omar@slclawoffice.com;langleycr75251@notify.bestcase.com;ecf123@casedriver.com

Matthew A Lesnick on behalf of Defendant OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. matt@lesnickprince.com, matt@ecf.inforuptcy.com;jmack@lesnickprince.com

Daniel A Lev on behalf of Defendant Consumer Legal Group, PC daniel.lev@gmlaw.com, cheryl.caldwell@gmlaw.com;dlev@ecf.courtdrive.com

Daniel A Lev on behalf of Defendant LGS Holdco, LLC daniel.lev@gmlaw.com, cheryl.caldwell@gmlaw.com;dlev@ecf.courtdrive.com

Daniel A Lev on behalf of Interested Party Consumer Legal Group, P.C. daniel.lev@gmlaw.com, cheryl.caldwell@gmlaw.com;dlev@ecf.courtdrive.com

Daniel A Lev on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF daniel.lev@gmlaw.com, cheryl.caldwell@gmlaw.com;dlev@ecf.courtdrive.com

Daniel A Lev on behalf of Interested Party Liberty Acquisitions Group Inc.

daniel.lev@gmlaw.com, cheryl.caldwell@gmlaw.com;dlev@ecf.courtdrive.com

Britteny Leyva on behalf of Interested Party Revolv3, Inc. bleyva@mayerbrown.com,

2396393420@filings.docketbird.com;KAWhite@mayerbrown.com;ladocket@mayerbrown.com

Michael D Lieberman on behalf of Creditor Phillip A. Greenblatt, PLLC mlieberman@lipsonneilson.com

Yosina M Lissebeck on behalf of Counter-Claimant Richard A. Marshack Yosina.Lissebeck@Dinsmore.com, caron.burke@dinsmore.com

Yosina M Lissebeck on behalf of Defendant Richard A. Marshack Yosina.Lissebeck@Dinsmore.com, caron.burke@dinsmore.com

Yosina M Lissebeck on behalf of Plaintiff Richard A. Marshack Yosina.Lissebeck@Dinsmore.com, caron.burke@dinsmore.com

Yosina M Lissebeck on behalf of Trustee Richard A Marshack (TR) Yosina.Lissebeck@Dinsmore.com, caron.burke@dinsmore.com

Mitchell B Ludwig on behalf of Creditor Fundura Capital Group mbl@kpclegal.com, kad@kpclegal.com

Daniel S March on behalf of Defendant Daniel S. March marchlawoffice@gmail.com, marchdr94019@notify.bestcase.com

Kathleen P March on behalf of Creditor Greyson Law Center PC kmarch@bkylawfirm.com, kmarch3@sbcglobal.net,kmarch@sbcglobal.net

Kathleen P March on behalf of Creditor Han Trinh kmarch@bkylawfirm.com, kmarch3@sbcglobal.net,kmarch@sbcglobal.net

Kathleen P March on behalf of Creditor Phuong (Jayde) Trinh kmarch@bkylawfirm.com, kmarch3@sbcglobal.net,kmarch@sbcglobal.net

Kathleen P March on behalf of Defendant Greyson Law Center PC kmarch@bkylawfirm.com, kmarch3@sbcglobal.net,kmarch@sbcglobal.net

Kathleen P March on behalf of Defendant Han Trinh kmarch@bkylawfirm.com, kmarch3@sbcglobal.net,kmarch@sbcglobal.net

Kathleen P March on behalf of Defendant Jayde Trinh kmarch@bkylawfirm.com, kmarch3@sbcglobal.net,kmarch@sbcglobal.net

Mark J Markus on behalf of Creditor David Orr bklawr@bklaw.com, markjmarkus@gmail.com;markus.markj.r112926@notify.bestcase.com

Richard A Marshack (TR) pkraus@marshackhays.com, rmarshack@iq7technology.com;ecf.alert+Marshack@titlexi.com

Laila Masud on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF Imasud@marshackhays.com, Imasud@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com

Laila Masud on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF Imasud@marshackhays.com, Imasud@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com

Laila Masud on behalf of Interested Party Richard A. Marshack

Imasud@marshackhays.com, Imasud@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com

Laila Masud on behalf of Plaintiff Richard Marshack Imasud@marshackhays.com, Imasud@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com

Laila Masud on behalf of Trustee Richard A Marshack (TR) Imasud@marshackhays.com, Imasud@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com

Sarah S. Mattingly on behalf of Plaintiff Richard A. Marshack, Chapter 11 Trustee sarah.mattingly@dinsmore.com

Sarah S. Mattingly on behalf of Plaintiff RICHARD A. MARSHACK sarah.mattingly@dinsmore.com

Kenneth Misken on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) Kenneth.M.Misken@usdoj.gov

Byron Z Moldo on behalf of Interested Party Byron Moldo bmoldo@ecjlaw.com, amatsuoka@ecjlaw.com,dperez@ecjlaw.com

Glenn D. Moses on behalf of Creditor ADP, Inc gmoses@venable.com, cascavone@venable.com;ipmalcolm@venable.com;jadelgado@venable.com

Alan I Nahmias on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF anahmias@mbn.law, jdale@mbn.law

Victoria Newmark on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF vnewmark@pszjlaw.com

Queenie K Ng on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) queenie.k.ng@usdoj.gov

Keith C Owens on behalf of Creditor Committee Committee of Unsecured Creditors kowens@foxrothschild.com, khoang@foxrothschild.com

Lisa Patel on behalf of Defendant OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. lpatel@lesnickprince.com, jmack@lesnickprince.com;jnavarro@lesnickprince.com

Michael R Pinkston on behalf of Creditor Wells Marble and Hurst, PLLC rpinkston@seyfarth.com,

jmcdermott@seyfarth.com,sfocalendar@seyfarth.com,5314522420@filings.docketbird.com,bankruptcydocket@seyfarth.com

Douglas A Plazak on behalf of Defendant Scott James Eadie dplazak@rhlaw.com

Daniel H Reiss on behalf of Defendant Touzi Capital, LLC dhr@Inbyg.com, dhr@ecf.inforuptcy.com

Daniel H Reiss on behalf of Defendant Eng Taing dhr@Inbyg.com, dhr@ecf.inforuptcy.com

Ronald N Richards on behalf of Defendant Consumer Legal Group, PC ron@ronaldrichards.com, 7206828420@filings.docketbird.com

Ronald N Richards on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF ron@ronaldrichards.com, 7206828420@filings.docketbird.com

Kevin Alan Rogers on behalf of Creditor Wells Marble and Hurst, PLLC krogers@wellsmar.com

Gregory M Salvato on behalf of Creditor Mari Agape gsalvato@salvatoboufadel.com, calendar@salvatolawoffices.com;jboufadel@salvatoboufadel.com;gsalvato@ecf.inforuptcy.com

Gregory M Salvato on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF gsalvato@salvatoboufadel.com, calendar@salvatolawoffices.com;jboufadel@salvatoboufadel.com;gsalvato@ecf.inforuptcy.com

Olivia Scott on behalf of Creditor Azzure Capital LLC olivia.scott3@bclplaw.com

Olivia Scott on behalf of Creditor Hi Bar Capital LLC olivia.scott3@bclplaw.com

Jonathan Serrano on behalf of Plaintiff Richard A. Marshack jonathan.serrano@dinsmore.com

Jonathan Serrano on behalf of Trustee Richard A Marshack (TR) jonathan.serrano@dinsmore.com

Maureen J Shanahan on behalf of Creditor Randall Baldwin Clark Attorney at Law PLLC Mstotaro@aol.com

Paul R Shankman on behalf of Attorney Paul R. Shankman PShankman@fortislaw.com, info@fortislaw.com

Paul R Shankman on behalf of Creditor United Partnerships, LLC PShankman@fortislaw.com, info@fortislaw.com

Zev Shechtman on behalf of Interested Party Danning Gill Israel & Krasnoff LLP zs@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com;zshechtman@ecf.inforuptcy.com

Zev Shechtman on behalf of Interested Party Morning Law Group, P.C. zs@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com;zshechtman@ecf.inforuptcy.com

Leslie Skorheim on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) leslie.skorheim@usdoj.gov

Adam D Stein-Sapir on behalf of Creditor Pioneer Funding Group, LLC info@pfllc.com

Howard Steinberg on behalf of Defendant BankUnited, N.A. steinbergh@gtlaw.com, pearsallt@gtlaw.com;NEF-BK@gtlaw.com;howard-steinberg-6096@ecf.pacerpro.com

Andrew Still on behalf of Creditor Alteryx, Inc. astill@swlaw.com, kcollins@swlaw.com

Andrew Still on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF astill@swlaw.com, kcollins@swlaw.com

Michael R Totaro on behalf of Creditor Randall Baldwin Clark Attorney at Law PLLC Ocbkatty@aol.com

Michael R Totaro on behalf of Interested Party Randall Baldwin Clark

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

Ocbkatty@aol.com

United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov

Sharon Z. Weiss on behalf of Creditor Azzure Capital LLC sharon.weiss@bclplaw.com, raul.morales@bclplaw.com,REC\_KM\_ECF\_SMO@bclplaw.com

Sharon Z. Weiss on behalf of Creditor Hi Bar Capital LLC sharon.weiss@bclplaw.com, raul.morales@bclplaw.com,REC\_KM\_ECF\_SMO@bclplaw.com

Sharon Z. Weiss on behalf of Defendant Azzure Capital LLC sharon.weiss@bclplaw.com, raul.morales@bclplaw.com,REC\_KM\_ECF\_SMO@bclplaw.com

Johnny White on behalf of Creditor Debt Relief Group, LLC JWhite@wrslawyers.com, jlee@wrslawyers.com

Johnny White on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF JWhite@wrslawyers.com, jlee@wrslawyers.com